



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,291	10/03/2005	Ju-Ock Nam	012679-113	6194
21839	7590	10/14/2008	EXAMINER	
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404				BRADLEY, CHRISTINA
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1654				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/14/2008		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/552,291	NAM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Christina Marchetti Bradley	1654	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 July 2008.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,4-7 and 13-15 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1,4-7 and 13-15 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .                                    |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                        |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Remarks***

1. Claims 1, 4-7 and 13-15 are pending.

### ***Sequence Compliance***

2. The objection to this application raised in the previous Office action is withdrawn in light of the arguments filed 07/07/2008.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1, 4-7 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for treating rheumatoid arthritis and melanoma, does not reasonably provide enablement for treating all cancers, vascular malformation, arteriosclerosis, vascular adhesions, edematous sclerosis, corneal graft neovascularization, neovascular glaucoma, diabetic retinopathy, pterygium, retinal degeneration, retrolental fibroplasia, granular conjunctivitis, rheumatoid arthritis, systemic Lupus erythematosus, thyroiditis, psoriasis, pyogenic granuloma, seborrheic dermatitis and acne, capillarectasia or all other angiogenesis-related diseases. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

5. Applicant's arguments filed 6/12/2007 on pages 10 and 11 regarding the Matrigel Plug assay, an *in vivo* assay demonstrating that the claimed peptides are effective against

angiogenesis, and the declaration of Dr. Kang, which includes data from an animal rheumatoid arthritis model, are persuasive regarding the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis only. Applicant's arguments filed 07/07/2007 and the declaration of Dr. Kim are persuasive regarding melanoma only. Although the specification and declarations establish that the claimed peptide has anti-angiogenic activity, it fails to provide guidance or working examples relevant to diseases other than rheumatoid arthritis and melanoma. An animal model for rheumatoid arthritis or melanoma cannot predict the efficacy of a compound in treating all cancers, vascular malformation, arteriosclerosis, vascular adhesions, edematous sclerosis, corneal graft neovascularization, neovascular glaucoma, diabetic retinopathy, pterygium, retinal degeneration, retrobulbar fibroplasia, granular conjunctivitis, rheumatoid arthritis, systemic Lupus erythematosus, thyroiditis, psoriasis, pyogenic granuloma, seborrheic dermatitis and acne or all other angiogenesis-related conditions. Furthermore, regarding claims 1 and 4-6, the animal model data for rheumatoid arthritis or melanoma cannot predict the efficacy of the method for all patients in need of endothelial cell adhesion, endothelial cell migration or angiogenesis inhibition. The skilled artisan would be burdened with undue experimentation in determining if the claimed peptides could be used to treat these diseases. When the above factors are weighed, it is the examiner's position that one skilled in the art could not practice the invention without undue experimentation.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

6. Claims 1 and 4-6 were previously indicated as allowed but upon further consideration are now rejected.

***Conclusion***

Art Unit: 1654

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christina Marchetti Bradley whose telephone number is (571)272-9044. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9:00 A.M. to 3:00 P.M.

8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Cecilia Tsang/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1654

/Christina Marchetti Bradley/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1654

cmb